

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 4/2/2012 3:48:45 PM
To: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC:
Subject: Re: Response Requested: WO# 1203001 Dimock - Final Report Review

Thanks! Looked good - it ran over to another page - blank so I had to delete TDS and put with TSS. There should be some way to determine the length of the narrative before running the report. If you know of any let me know (it took 4 tries to get the narrative to fit properly).

Also, please see my other email about U and run logs. I need the answers before I can send out the report today

From: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Elaine Brownley/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/02/2012 01:08 PM
Subject: Re: Response Requested: WO# 1203001 Dimock - Final Report Review

Corrections are made...see what you think.
robin

Robin Costas, Chemist
EPA Region 3, OASQA
Ft. Meade, Md 20755
410-305-2659

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Elaine Brownley/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/02/2012 11:43 AM
Subject: Response Requested: WO# 1203001 Dimock - Final Report Review

Robin and Elaine,

The case narrative does not include a general section and should at a minimum make a statement about samples received intact. I also suggest adding a statement that all results are included in one report (different than rest of project).

NQL - needs spelled out under Glycol notes.

Under SVOAs - 1-methylnaphthalene comment - was this treated just like other compounds (not on-demand correct?)? Maybe add - and all QC were within criteria??

Word estimated needs to be after qualified in the SVOA notes (QLs qualified "UJ").

All else looked okay.

Cindy